

**Application No.:** 09/447,227  
**Filing Date.** November 22, 1999

## **SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW**

### Attendees, Date and Type of Interview

Applicants thank Examiner Robert Nasser for the telephonic interview with Applicants' representative, Laura Johnson, on January 22, 2010 to discuss the pending application.

### Exhibits and/or Demonstrations

None.

### Identification of Claims Discussed

Claims 88 and 90.

### Identification of References Discussed

None.

### Proposed Amendments, Principal Arguments, and Other Matters

Applicants' representative and the Examiner discussed the "monolithic and homogeneous" limitation in Claim 90. Applicants' representative explained that in some embodiments, the silicone polymer, the polyurethane, and the polycarbonate recited in Claim 88 can be components of a copolymer. For further clarification, Applicants' representative proposed adding dependent claims to clarify this point.

### Results of Interviews

The Examiner agreed that the dependent claims reciting the "monolithic and homogeneous" limitation are supported in the originally filed application, and thus will withdraw the 35 U.S.C. § 112 rejection. The Examiner also agreed that new dependent claims reciting a copolymer comprising a silicone polymer, a polycarbonate, and a polyurethane are fully supported in the originally filed application.